Brain processes immediate goals faster than distant ones.

Karimova Oyjamol Mirzayeva Gulhayo Qosimova Gulbahor

Annotation: How does our brain distinguish between urgent and less urgent goals? Researchers at the University of Geneva (UNIGE) and the Icahn School of Medicine in New York have explored how our brain remembers and adjusts the goals we set ourselves on a daily basis. Their study reveals differences in the way we process immediate and distant goals, at both behavioural and cerebral levels. These discoveries, described in the journal Nature Communications, could have significant implications for understanding psychiatric disorders, particularly depression, which can hamper the formulation of clear goals.

Keywords: Brain, children, depression, doctor, excessies, hospital, medicine.

Throughout the day, we set ourselves goals to achieve: picking up the children from school in an hour, preparing dinner in three hours, making a doctor's appointment in five days or mowing the lawn in a week. These goals, both urgent and less urgent, are constantly redefined according to the events that occur throughout the day.

Researchers from the UNIGE and the Icahn School of Medicine at Mont Sinai Hospital in New York have studied how the brain memorises and updates the goals to be achieved. More specifically, how the brain sorts out which goals require immediate attention and which do not. Their study focused on a particular region of the brain, the hippocampus, because of its established role in episodic memory. This is responsible for encoding, consolidating and retrieving personally experienced information, integrating its emotional, spatial and temporal context.

Modern education and development

Neuroscientists asked 31 people to project themselves into an imaginary 4-year space mission to Mars, requiring them to achieve a series of objectives crucial to their survival (taking care of their space helmet, taking exercise, eating certain foods, etc.). The mission objectives varied according to when they had to be achieved, with different tasks for each of the four years of the journey. As participants progressed through the mission, they were presented with the same objectives. They were then asked to indicate whether these were past, present or future goals. As the participants moved forward in time, the relevance of these objectives changed: objectives initially planned for the future became current needs, while current needs became past objectives. In this way, participants had to manage several objectives at different distances in time and update their priorities as their mission progressed. The scientists also investigated whether differences were also apparent at the cerebral level. Images obtained using very high-resolution MRI revealed that, when retrieving information about the present, the hippocampus is activated in its posterior region. On the other hand, when recalling past goals or goals to be achieved in the future, the anterior region is activated.

"These results are particularly interesting because previous studies have shown that when we call on our episodic memory or our spatial memory, the anterior region of the hippocampus is involved in retrieving general information, while the posterior part deals with details. It will therefore be interesting to explore whether - unlike immediate goals - projection into the future or recall of a past goal do not require specific details, but a general representation is sufficient," concludes the researcher. This research shows that the time scale plays a crucial role in the way people set personal goals. This could have important implications for understanding psychiatric disorders such as depression. Indeed, people suffering from depression may present difficulties in forming specific goals and envisage more obstacles in reaching their objectives. Investigating whether these people perceive the distance to their goals differently - which could make them pessimistic about their chances of success - could open up a therapeutic avenue.

References.

1.Farley A, McLafferty E, Hendry C. The cardiovascular system. 2012 Oct 31-Nov 6Nurs Stand. 27(9):35-9. [PubMed]

2.Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, Chamberlain AM, Chang AR, Cheng S, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Delling FN, Deo R, de Ferranti SD, Ferguson JF, Fornage M, Gillespie C, Isasi CR, Jiménez MC, Jordan LC, Judd SE, Lackland D, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth L, Liu S, Longenecker CT, Lutsey PL, Mackey JS, Matchar DB, Matsushita K, Mussolino ME, Nasir K, O'Flaherty M, Palaniappan LP, Pandey A, Pandey DK, Reeves MJ, Ritchey MD, Rodriguez CJ, Roth GA, Rosamond WD, Sampson UKA, Satou GM, Shah SH, Spartano NL, Tirschwell DL, Tsao CW, Voeks JH, Willey JZ, Wilkins JT, Wu JH, Alger HM, Wong SS, Muntner P., American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2018 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2018 Mar 20;137(12):e67-e492. [PubMed]

3.US Preventive Services Task Force. Curry SJ, Krist AH, Owens DK, Barry MJ, Caughey AB, Davidson KW, Doubeni CA, Epling JW, Kemper AR, Kubik M, Landefeld CS, Mangione CM, Silverstein M, Simon MA, Tseng CW, Wong JB. Risk Assessment for Cardiovascular Disease With Nontraditional Risk Factors: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. 2018 Jul 17;320(3):272-280. [PubMed]

4.Fox CS, Coady S, Sorlie PD, Levy D, Meigs JB, D'Agostino RB, Wilson PW, Savage PJ. Trends in cardiovascular complications of diabetes. JAMA. 2004 Nov 24;292(20):2495-9. [PubMed]

5.Vasan RS, Sullivan LM, Wilson PW, Sempos CT, Sundström J, Kannel WB, Levy D, D'Agostino RB. Relative importance of borderline and elevated levels of coronary heart disease risk factors. Ann Intern Med. 2005 Mar 15;142(6):393-402. [PubMed]

Modern education and development

6.Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, McQueen M, Budaj A, Pais P, Varigos J, Lisheng L., INTERHEART Study Investigators. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. Lancet. 2004 Sep 11-17;364(9438):937-52. [PubMed]

7. Montagrin, A., et al. (2024). The hippocampus dissociates present from past and future goals. Nature Communications. doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-48648-9.