

OCCUPATION OF TURKESTAN BY TSARIST RUSSIA

Jizzakh branch of National University of Uzbekistan

named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The faculty of Psychology, the department of Foreign languages

Philology and teaching languages

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

nafisateshaboyeva@jbnuu.uz

Student of group 401.22: Musurmonqulova Nargiza Ilxom qizi

nargizamusurmonqulova3@gmail.com

***Abstract:** This exploration delves into the complex and multifaceted history of the Tsarist Russian conquest of Turkestan during the 19th century, examining its motivations, strategies, and lasting consequences. This article is ideal for individuals interested in history, colonialism, Central Asian studies, and those seeking a deeper understanding of the complex dynamics shaping the region.*

***Key words:** Tsarist Russia, occupation, landscape, legacy, identity, establishment, Kazakh territories, Tashkent, Turkestan Governorate, Khanate of Khiva, Emirate of Bukhara, control, conquest, nationalist movements*

The 19th century witnessed a dramatic chapter in Central Asian history as the vast expanse of Turkestan, a region encompassing modern-day Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan, fell under the dominion of Tsarist Russia. This conquest, driven by a complex interplay of imperial ambitions, economic interests, and geopolitical rivalries, left a lasting legacy on the region, shaping its political landscape, social fabric, and cultural identity. "The Russians came like a flood, destroying our land and enslaving our people. They built their cities on our ruins and stole our wealth. But we will never submit, and our spirit will never be broken," wrote Ahmad Makhdum Kalla, Uyghur poet and resistance leader.

Timeline:

- Mid-19th century: Initial incursions and expansion into Kazakh territories.
- 1865: Capture of Tashkent, a major turning point.
- 1867: Establishment of the Turkestan Governorate.
- 1873: Conquest of the Khanate of Khiva.
- 1876: Annexation of the Khanate of Kokand.
- 1885: Subjugation of the Emirate of Bukhara (maintained as a protectorate).
- Early 20th century: Growing resistance and nationalist movements.
- 1917: The fall of the Tsarist regime and the subsequent struggle for control in Turkestan.

Fyodor Dostoevsky, Russian novelist said : "In Europe, we were hangers-on and slaves, whereas we came to Asia as masters. In Europe we were Tatars, whereas in Asia we too are Europeans. Our mission in Asia is to be a civilizing force," expressed his attitude to this situation.

The March of Empire: Tsarist Russia's expansion into Central Asia was motivated by a confluence of factors. The quest for valuable resources, such as cotton and minerals, played a significant role, as did the desire to secure strategic trade routes and establish a buffer zone against British influence in the "Great Game" – a geopolitical rivalry that dominated the region. Additionally, the ideology of imperial expansion, fueled by a sense of cultural and racial superiority, contributed to the drive to subjugate the independent Khanates of Kokand, Bukhara, and Khiva.

Military Might and Political Maneuvering: The Tsarist conquest of Turkestan was a gradual process, characterized by a combination of military force and political maneuvering. Russian troops, equipped with superior weaponry and tactics, launched a series of campaigns against the Khanates, exploiting internal rivalries

and leveraging their technological advantage. The capture of Tashkent in 1865 marked a turning point, paving the way for further conquests and the establishment of the Turkestan Governorate in 1867.

Colonial Administration and Transformation: Under Tsarist rule, Turkestan underwent significant transformations. The Russian administration implemented policies aimed at consolidating control, extracting resources, and promoting economic development along lines that served imperial interests. Infrastructure projects, such as the Trans-Caspian Railway, were undertaken to facilitate resource extraction and troop movements. Cotton cultivation was promoted, turning the region into a major supplier for the Russian textile industry, while traditional nomadic lifestyles were disrupted and agricultural practices were modified.

Resistance and the Seeds of Dissent: Despite the Tsarist regime's efforts to impose its control, resistance to Russian rule emerged in various forms. Local uprisings, often fueled by resentment towards the imposition of foreign rule and the disruption of traditional ways of life, erupted throughout the region. Religious leaders played a crucial role in mobilizing resistance, while nascent nationalist movements began to take shape, laying the groundwork for future struggles for self-determination.

A Legacy of Change and Conflict: "The Tsarist occupation brought some benefits, like modern education and technology, but it came at the cost of our freedom and identity. We must learn from the West, but also preserve our own culture and strive for self-determination," wrote Abdurauf Fitrat. The Tsarist conquest of Turkestan left a profound and lasting impact on the region. The redrawing of political boundaries and the imposition of a centralized administration laid the foundation for the modern nation-states of Central Asia. Social and economic transformations, including urbanization, modernization, and the growth of a working class, brought about significant changes to traditional society. However, the Tsarist legacy also sowed the seeds of future conflicts, as ethnic tensions,

economic disparities, and the unresolved issue of self-determination continued to shape the region's trajectory well into the 20th century and beyond.

In conclusion, the Tsarist conquest of Turkestan stands as a pivotal moment in Central Asian history, marking the end of an era of independent Khanates and the beginning of a new chapter under Russian imperial rule. The region underwent profound transformations, experiencing modernization, economic development, and the redrawing of political boundaries. However, the Tsarist legacy also left behind a complex web of challenges, including ethnic tensions, social inequalities, and the unresolved issue of self-determination.

The echoes of the Tsarist era continue to resonate in the region today, shaping the political landscape, influencing cultural identities, and serving as a reminder of the enduring quest for autonomy and self-governance. As the Central Asian nations navigate the complexities of the 21st century, the lessons learned from the Tsarist period remain relevant, urging reflection on the delicate balance between preserving cultural heritage, fostering economic progress, and ensuring equitable and just societies for all.

Resources:

1. Peyrouse, Sébastien. "Nationhood and the minority question in Central Asia. The Russians in Kazakhstan." *Europe–Asia Studies* 59.3 (2007): 481–501.
2. Bregel, Yuri. *An Historical Atlas of Central Asia*, 2003
3. Malikov, A.M. The Russian conquest of the Bukharan emirate: military and diplomatic aspects in *Central Asian Survey*, volume 33, issue 2, 2014.
4. Wheeler, Geoffrey. *The Russians in Central Asia History Today*. March 1956, 6#3 pp 172–180.
5. Turkestan in the early XX century: the history of the sources of national independence. Tashkent, 2000. P.9, approx. 1.
6. *Khasanov A.Kh.* Mutual relations of the Kyrgyz with the Kokand Khanate and Russia in the 50–70's. XIX century. Frunze, 1961. P. 173–175.

7. Bromley Yu.V. Some results and prospects of the Soviet ethnographic science, the 24th
8. Beisembiev T.M. Kokand historiography: A study on the source study of Central Asia of the XVIII-XIX centuries. Almaty, 2009. P. 70.
9. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY, 1(9), 94-97.
10. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
11. Nafisa, T. (2023). VOWELS AND THEIR MODIFACATIONS. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 298-305.
12. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE EDUCATION SYSTEM OF THE USA: PRESCHOOL EDUCATION, SECONDARY AND HIGHER EDUCATION, SCHOOL FORMS. The Role of Exact Sciences in the Era of Modern Development, 1(6), 53-57.
13. Qizi, T. N. Z., & Umedovich, M. Y. (2023). AMERICAN-BASED PRONUNCIATION STANDARDS OF ENGLISH. Scientific Impulse, 2(15), 563-567.
14. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Word Formation: Compounding. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 113-115).
15. Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292-297.
16. Ojha, D. D. R. (2023). Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi.
17. Nafisa, T. (2023). POLITICAL PARTIES IN GREAT BRITAIN. Нововведения Современного Научного Развития в Эпоху Глобализации: Проблемы и Решения, 1(5), 97-101.

18. Nafisa, T. (2023). GOVERNMENTAL SYMBOLS OF GREAT BRITAIN; OUTSTANDING DATES OF GREAT BRITAIN. *The Role of Exact Sciences in the Era of Modern Development*, 1(6), 23-26.
19. . Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).
20. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).