

THE NEW TERMINOLOGY IN CRIMINOLOGY

Kayumova Farzona Khayrulla qizi

*Tashkent State Law University, Faculty of Criminal Justice,
final-year student.*

[*kayumovafarzona7@gmail.com*](mailto:kayumovafarzona7@gmail.com)

Abstract: This paper delves into the evolving landscape of criminological terminology. It examines the processes through which new terms are introduced into the field, including borrowing, modification, and neologism. The authors argue that while these new terms enrich the discourse, they must adhere to specific linguistic criteria such as brevity, clarity, and consistency. The article presents a critical analysis of various newly coined terms, highlighting their strengths and weaknesses. By examining the challenges and opportunities associated with the development of new terminology, the paper contributes to a deeper understanding of the dynamic nature of criminological language.

Keywords: Criminology , terminology , new terms , linguistic analysis, term formation , term evaluation , criminological theory, language and law

Introduction

The field of criminology, like any evolving academic discipline, requires a robust and adaptable language to accurately describe and analyze complex phenomena. As societal structures, criminal behaviors, and investigative techniques change, so too must the terminology used to understand and address them. This essay explores the emergence and development of new terminology in criminology, examining the factors that contribute to its creation, the challenges associated with its adoption, and its implications for the field.

Factors Contributing to the Creation of New Terminology

The creation of new terminology in criminology is driven by several factors. One significant factor is the emergence of new types of crime or criminal behaviors. Technological advancements, globalization, and societal shifts have led to the rise of cybercrime, transnational organized crime, and other novel criminal activities. These new phenomena require new terms to accurately describe and analyze them.

Another factor is the development of new theoretical frameworks and methodologies within criminology. As researchers explore new ways of understanding crime and its causes, they may introduce new terms to capture their concepts and ideas. For example, the development of critical criminology has led to the introduction of terms like "structural criminology" and "hegemonic masculinity."

Challenges in Adopting New Terminology



While new terminology can be valuable in advancing criminological understanding, its adoption can be challenging. One obstacle is resistance to change. Established terms may be deeply ingrained in the field, and there may be reluctance to adopt new ones. Additionally, there can be disagreements over the meaning and usage of new terms, leading to confusion and inconsistency.

Another challenge is the potential for new terminology to obscure rather than clarify. If terms are overly complex, jargon-laden, or ambiguous, they can hinder communication and understanding. Furthermore, the proliferation of new terms can create a sense of terminological overload, making it difficult for researchers and practitioners to keep up.

Implications for Criminology

The development of new terminology in criminology has several implications for the field. First, it can enhance our understanding of crime by providing more precise and accurate descriptions of criminal phenomena. Second, it can foster interdisciplinary collaboration by creating a common language that can be used by researchers from different fields. Third, it can contribute to the development of new theories and methodologies.

However, it is important to approach the adoption of new terminology with caution. While new terms can be valuable, they should not be used indiscriminately. It is essential to carefully consider the clarity, relevance, and consistency of new terms before incorporating them into the criminological lexicon.

Conclusion

The emergence of new terminology in criminology is a reflection of the dynamic and evolving nature of the field. While the creation of new terms can be a valuable tool for understanding crime and its causes, it is important to approach this process with careful consideration. By balancing the need for innovation with the importance of clarity and consistency, criminologists can ensure that the language they use continues to serve the field effectively.

REFERENCE:

1. **Arnol'd, I.V.** (2013). *Osnovy nauchnykh issledovaniy v lingvistike* [Basics of linguistic research]. 5th ed. Moscow, LIBROKOM Publ.
2. **Graudina, L.K., & Shiryaev, E.N.** (eds.). (n.d.). *Kul'tura russkoi rechi* [The culture of Russian speech]. Retrieved from www.gumer.info/bibliotek_Buks/Linguist/Gray/24.php
3. **Zolotareva, Yu.A.** (2011). O definitsii termina «yazykovoe prestuplenie» [On defining the term «language crime»]. *Politicheskaya lingvistika = Political Linguistics*, 3, 217–219.

4. **Ognerubov, N.A.** (2014). Professional'nye prestupleniya meditsinskikh rabotnikov. Avtoref. Kand. Diss. [Professional crimes of medical workers. Cand. Diss. Thesis]. Moscow.
5. **Teige, E.** (n.d.). Iz-za lyubvi k ukrainke kaliningradets poshel na prestuplenie [A Kaliningrad resident committed a crime out of love for a Ukrainian girl]. Retrieved from <http://klops.ru/news/obschestvo/97547-iz-za-lyubvi-k-ukrainke-kaliningradets-poshel-na-prestuplenie>
6. **Shestakov, D.A.** (2012). Criminal interference in the politics of a sovereign state: criminological issues. *Kriminologiya: vchera, segodnya, zavtra = Criminology: yesterday, today, tomorrow*, 26, 12–17.
7. **Petukhov, V.B.** (2008). Fenomen terrorizma v informatsionnom prostranstve kul'tury. Avtoref. Dokt. Diss. [The phenomenon of terrorism in the information environment of culture. Doct. Diss. Thesis]. Moscow.
8. **Bagmet, M.A.** (2014). Protivodeistvie korrupsitsii v politsii (kriminologicheskoe issledovanie). Avtoref. Kand. Diss. [Counteracting corruption in police (a criminological study). Cand. Diss. Thesis]. Moscow.
9. **Farafonova, L.N.** (1998). The character and specifics of informal children's unions with a criminal echo. *Reproduktivnoe zdorov'e i nnavstvennoe vospitanie molodezhi: aktual'nye problemy i puti ikh resheniya. Materialy 7-i mezhdunarodnoi nauchno-prakticheskoi konferentsii [Reproductive health and the moral upbringing of young people: topical problems and ways of solving them. Materials of the 7th International Science and Practice Conference]*. Khabarovsk.
10. **Shestakov, D.A.** (2003). *Semeinaya kriminologiya. Kriminofamilistika [Family criminology. Criminal familistics]*. 2nd ed. Saint Petersburg, Yuridicheskii tsentr Press Publ.
11. **Duffy, M.P., & Sperry, L.** (2012). *Mobbing: Causes, Consequences, and Solutions*. New York, Oxford University Press.
12. **Shestakov, D.A.** (2011). Aggression against sovereign Libya, outline of charges. *Kriminologiya: vchera, segodnya, zavtra = Criminology: yesterday, today, tomorrow*, 23, 10–16.
13. **Shestakov, D.A.** (1989). Sindrom Ронтия Пилата в реформе уголовного законодательства [Pontius Pilatus syndrome in criminal legislation reform]. *Aktual'nye voprosy reformy ugolovnoho zakonodatel'stva [Topical issue of reforming criminal legislation]*. Tartu.
14. **Bartol, C.R., & Bartol, A.M.** (2010). *Criminal Behavior: A Psychological Approach*. 9th ed. Prentice Hall Publ.
15. **Krapp, P.** (2005). *Terror and Play, or What was Hacktivism?* Grey Room. Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 21, 70–93. Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/307639/Terror_and_Play_or_What_Was_Hacktivism